**EXHIBIT D** 

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
         CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION
 3
                      HONORABLE OTIS D. WRIGHT
 4
               UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING
 5
 6
     Ingenuity 13 LLC,
 7
                           PLAINTIFF,
     VS.
 8
                                            NO. CV 12-8333 ODW
     John Doe, et al.,
 9
                            DEFENDANT,
10
11
12
13
                REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
                      LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
15
                       TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013
16
17
18
19
                   KATIE E. THIBODEAUX, CSR 9858
                   U.S. Official Court Reporter
20
                   312 North Spring Street, #436
                   Los Angeles, California 90012
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
 3
     FOR RESPONDENT GIBBS:
 4
          WAXLER CARNER BRODSKY LLP
          BY: ANDREW J. WAXLER
          -and- BARRY BRODSKY
 5
          1960 E. Grand Avenue
 6
          Suite 1210
          El Segundo, CA 90245
 7
 8
 9
     FOR DEFENDANT:
10
          THE PIETZ LAW FIRM
          BY: MORGAN E. PIETZ
11
          3770 Highland Avenue
          Suite 206
12
          Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
13
          -and-
14
          NICHOLAS RANALLO LAW OFFICES
          BY: NICHOLAS R. RANALLO
15
          371 Dogwood Way
          Boulder Creek, CA 95006
16
1.7
     FOR RESPONDENTS DUFFY, VAN DEN HEMEL & PRENDA LAW:
18
19
          KLINEDINST LAW OFFICES
          BY: HEATHER ROSING
20
          -and- PHILIP W. VINEYARD III
          -and- DAVID M. MAJCHRZAK
          501 W. Broadway
21
          Suite 600
22
          San Diego, CA 92101
23
24
25
```

```
1
     APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
 2
 3
     FOR RESPONDENT HANSMEIER:
 4
          BAKER, KEENER & NAHRA LLP
          BY: PHILLIP A. BAKER
 5
          -and- DANIEL PATRICK LEONARD
          633 West Fifth Street
 6
          Fifty-fourth Floor
          Los Angeles, CA 90071
 7
 8
     FOR RESPONDENT STEELE:
 9
          MURPHY, PEARSON, BRADLEY & FEENEY
          BY: THOMAS P. MAZZUCCO
10
          -and- TIMOTHY J. HALLORAN
          88 Kearny Street
11
          Tenth Floor
          San Francisco, CA 94108
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
          LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, APRIL 2, 2013
 2
                            10:00 A.M.
 3
 4
 5
 6
            THE CLERK: Calling Item No. 1, CR 12-8333, ODW,
     Ingenuity 13, LLC, versus John Doe, et al.
 7
 8
                Counsel, please state your appearances.
 9
            MR. PIETZ: Morgan Pietz, P-I-E-T-Z, for the
10
     putative John Doe defendant in 12-CV-8333.
11
            MR. RANALLO: And Nicholas Ranallo for the same
12
     Doe.
13
            THE COURT: Morning, counsel.
14
            MR. WAXLER: Andrew Waxler and Barry Brodsky, both
15
     for Brett Gibbs who is here today.
16
            THE COURT: By the way, thank you for your
17
     submittal with respect to your efforts to effect service.
18
     Thank you.
            MR. BAKER: Phil Baker and Dan Leonard specially
19
     appearing for Paul Hansmeier.
20
21
            MR. LEONARD: Morning, your Honor.
22
            MR. BAKER: And he is present today.
23
            THE COURT: Where?
24
            MR. BAKER: Mr. Hansmeier, will you stand up.
            THE COURT: Front row.
25
```

```
1
            MR. HALLORAN: Morning your Honor. My name is Tim
 2
     Halloran, Thomas Mazzacco on behalf of John Steele who is
 3
     also present.
 4
            THE COURT: Mr. Steele.
 5
            MR. STEELE: Yes.
            MS. ROSING: Morning, your Honor. Heather Rosing
 6
 7
     with Klinedinst PC with my colleagues Phil Vineyard and
 8
     Dave Majchrzak appearing on behalf of Paul Duffy, Angela
 9
     Van Den Hemel and Prenda Law, and Mr. Duffy and
     Ms. Van Den Hemel are in the audience today.
10
11
            THE COURT: Thank you.
12
                Is that it?
13
            MR. BAKER: Your Honor?
14
            THE COURT: Yes.
15
            MR. BAKER: There are other individuals pursuant
     to your order here. They are not represented.
16
17
            THE COURT: Mark Lutz?
18
            MR. BAKER: Yes, he is present.
19
            MR. LUTZ: Yes.
20
            THE COURT: Mr. Lutz, welcome, sir. Did Alan --
     well, do we have an Alan Cooper? Any Alan Cooper?
21
22
           (No response.)
23
            THE COURT: All right. Peter Hansmeier?
24
           MR. HANSMEIER: Yes, your Honor.
25
            THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
```

```
1
            MR. HANSMEIER: Morning.
 2
            THE COURT: Any representatives of any other
     representatives of Prenda Law, Livewire Holdings, AF
 3
     Holdings other than Mr. Lutz, Ingenuity 13 other than
 4
 5
     Mr. Lutz and 6881 Forensics, LLC.
 6
            MS. ROSING: Mr. Duffy is appearing on behalf of
 7
     Prenda Law, your Honor.
 8
            THE COURT: All right. Here is my interest, and
 9
     we can proceed in any way that seems to make sense.
10
     pleasantly surprised that we have everyone here.
     Otherwise, I was going to be forced to draw reasonable
11
12
     inferences from the facts as I know them.
13
                It should be clear by now that this court's
14
     focus has now shifted dramatically from the area of
15
    protecting intellectual property rights to attorney
    misconduct such misconduct which I think brings discredit
16
17
     to the profession. That is much more of a concern now to
18
    this court than what this litigation initially was about.
                Mr. Steele -- well, let me do it this way. I
19
20
    have questions of Mr. Steele. Mr. Steele can choose to
21
     answer those questions or not. The same applies for
22
    Mr. Duffy and Mr. Hansmeier.
23
                Now, as the attorneys, how do you all propose
24
    we proceed?
25
            MR. BAKER: May I take the podium, your Honor?
```

```
1
            THE COURT: Well, actually, we don't have one, but
 2
     we do have a lecturn and you are free to use it.
            MR. MAZZUCCO: Thomas Mazzucco on behalf of
 3
 4
     Mr. Steele.
 5
                Your Honor, in light of some of the
     information that was in the transcript of March 11th,
 6
     2013 in this courtroom and some of the concerns that this
 7
 8
     court has mentioned, at this point in time, if Mr. Steele
 9
     is called to testify, he is going to exercise his Fifth
10
     Amendment privilege against forced testimony.
11
                And we state for two reasons, one, there were
12
     serious allegations made by the court and others of not
13
     just attorney misconduct but the word fraud was used
14
     several times in the transcript.
            THE COURT: Should have been.
15
            MR. MAZZUCCO: The next step is there is also an
16
17
     issue involving attorney-client privilege. If Mr. Steele
18
     was to testify, that privilege belongs to the client.
19
            THE COURT: Which client might that be?
20
            MR. MAZZUCCO: That would be several of his
21
     clients. Mr. Halloran is going to handle that part of
22
     the argument, but that is a two pronged argument, your
23
     Honor.
            THE COURT: Are you talking about AF Holdings,
24
25
     Ingenuity 13, those clients?
```

```
1
            MR. MAZZUCCO: Yes.
 2
            THE COURT: And you think there is a difference
 3
     between those clients and Mr. Steele?
 4
            MR. MAZZUCCO: I think there is, your Honor, yes.
            THE COURT: From what I know about this case,
 5
     there is no difference at all, but that is why I am glad
 6
 7
     Mr. Steele is here. Maybe he can clarify some of those
 8
     things, but if you say answering those kinds of questions
 9
     would incriminate him, I'll take you at your word.
10
            MR. MAZZUCCO: No, your Honor. I'm not saying
     they are going to incriminate him. I said it is his
11
12
     Fifth Amendment privilege against forced testimony.
     There was language on the record from March 11th where
13
     this court made some accusatory statements about fraud
14
15
     upon the court, things that were in the transcript.
16
            THE COURT: Yes.
17
            MR. MAZZUCCO: You leave my client with no
     alternative but.
18
19
            THE COURT: To rebut those statements.
20
            MR. MAZZUCCO: He can rebut those statements in
21
     the proper venue, your Honor. This is an order to show
22
     cause in front of this court.
23
            THE COURT: Let's cut to the chase. I am really
     not interested in -- I want to know if some of my
24
25
     conjecture is accurate. The only way I can find out is
```

```
to have the principles here and answer those questions.
 1
 2
                Now, if you say he will not answer those
 3
     questions, then I will draw whatever inferences I think
 4
     are reasonable from the facts as I know them. This is an
 5
     opportunity for him to protect himself, to defend and
     protect himself. It is up to him. So you are saying he
 6
 7
     doesn't want to answer any questions, fine. I am not
     going to go through the charade of asking the questions
 8
 9
     and have him assert the Fifth.
10
            MR. MAZZUCCO: Your Honor, he is not going to
11
     respond to your questions.
12
            THE COURT: All right. Fine.
                What about Mr. Hansmeier? What is his
13
14
     position, the same?
15
            MR. BAKER: The exact same, your Honor.
            THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
16
17
                Mr. Duffy.
18
           MS. ROSING: Your Honor, Mr. Duffy and
     Ms. Van Den Hemel will also be taking the fifth
19
     amendment. Though, in response to your desire for
20
21
     additional information, I do have approximately 25
22
    minutes of argument, and I do have some exhibits that are
     judicially noticeable.
23
24
            THE COURT: On what? Relevant to what?
25
           MS. ROSING: To the seven issues pending before
```

```
1
     this court.
 2
            THE COURT: Give me the Cliff Note version. Just
 3
     give me a summary, what it is that you would like to --
 4
            MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, what I would like
 5
     to argue because my clients are entitled to a reasonable
 6
     opportunity to be heard, we weren't allowed --
 7
            THE COURT: Excuse me. They are giving up that
     right to be heard. Now, what have you got to say that is
 8
 9
     under oath?
10
           MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, my arguments are
11
     legal arguments.
12
            THE COURT: I know. I am looking for facts.
                                                          I
13
     really am. I am not a looking for legal arguments.
           MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor --
14
15
            THE COURT: Can you tell me, for example, who
16
     directs the litigation here in California? Who makes the
17
     decision as to whether or not cases are dismissed or
18
     settled for how much money? Can you tell me that?
19
            MS. ROSING: Your Honor, I can't testify.
            THE COURT: "Yes" or "no", please. Because we
20
21
     need to move through this. Can you tell me that?
22
           MS. ROSING: I personally cannot tell you that,
23
    your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: All right. Do you know whether or not
25
    there is another Alan Cooper other than the one that was
```

```
1
     here at the last hearing?
 2
            MS. ROSING: I am not aware of another Alan
 3
     Cooper, your Honor.
 4
            THE COURT: All right. Good.
                What happens to the settlement money?
 5
            MS. ROSING: Your Honor, obviously, I represent
 6
     Mr. Duffy and Ms. Van Den Hemel. I don't have personal
 7
     knowledge of any of this.
 8
 9
            THE COURT: Why weren't notices of related cases
     filed? Who made the decision to hide from the court the
10
     fact that all of these cases were related.
11
12
           MS. ROSING: I do have a judicially noticeable
     document on that, your Honor, where the Northern District
13
14
     declined to relate the cases.
15
            THE COURT: That is a different thing. That is
16
    consolidating them.
           MS. ROSING: It is actually an order declining to
17
18
    relate them.
            THE COURT: Same plaintiff, same film, same causes
19
    of action, and they are not related? Excuse me?
20
                Okay. Tell me this. Who made the decision
21
22
    not to disclose to the court the fact that the law firms
23
    have a financial interest in the outcome of this
24
    litigation?
           MS. ROSING: Your Honor, there is no evidence
25
```

```
before this court at all that the law firm or any, well,
 1
 2
     certainly, my clients, Paul Duffy or Angela Van Den
 3
     Hemel, have any financial interest in the outcome of this
 4
     litigation.
 5
            THE COURT: Excuse me. Did you read Hansmeier's
     deposition?
 6
 7
            MS. ROSING: Yes, I did, your Honor.
            THE COURT: And then you make the statement you
 8
     just made?
 9
10
            MS. ROSING: Your Honor, there is no evidence that
11
     Mr. Duffy or Ms. Van Den Hemel who is a W2 paralegal at
12
     Prenda Law --
13
            THE COURT: I understand that.
14
            MS. ROSING: And I would be happy --
15
            THE COURT: Wait a minute. The money goes to
     Prenda Law's trust account; right?
16
17
            MS. ROSING: Your Honor, I have no personal
18
     knowledge, and I can't testify. But I do have an
19
     argument I would like to present to your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: Relative to what? To anything I just
21
     asked?
22
           MS. ROSING: Well, your Honor, it is a legal
23
     argument with some objections and some judicially
24
     noticeable documents.
25
            THE COURT: Relative to what?
```

```
1
            MS. ROSING: Well, the seven issues before the
 2
     court, the Alan Cooper issue, the discovery order issue,
     the Wagar investigation, the Denton investigation, Form
 3
     CV30, the relationships, and March 11, the things that
 4
 5
     are noticed in this court's OSC.
 6
                But, your Honor, we would be happy to submit
 7
     this in a brief if that would be more --
 8
            THE COURT: Good. Do that. Thank you.
 9
                We are done.
10
           (Proceedings concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                             CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
 4
     I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28,
     United States Code, the foregoing is a true and correct
 5
 6
     transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held
 7
     in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page
 8
     format is in conformance with the regulations of the
     Judicial Conference of the United States.
 9
10
     Date: April 5, 2013
11
12
      /s/ Katie Thibodeaux, CSR No. 9858, RPR, CRR
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```